Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/820,634	SELVAMANICKAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Rudy Zervigon</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey S. Abel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 March 2006	Time: <u>9:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All pending		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: I called Mr. Abel to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Abel returned my call confirming Applicant's intention to abandon the case to pursue a divisional application.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
And Chai 3/1/6		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)